- (1) DO NOT SCAN THIS DOCUMENT INTO IFW.
- (2) This document should be placed into an Examiner Note folder for this application.

5/18/06

PATENT EXAMINER IFW REISSUE APPLICATION CHECKLIST

Examiner:

Art Unit:

Reissue Appl. No.:

Anatoly Vortman 2835 10/766, 751

THIS CHECKLIST IS PROVIDED AS AN AID TO THE REISSUE EXAMINATION PROCESS. IT SHOULD BE USED THROUGHOUT PROSECUTION AND MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE FILE AT THE TIME OF ALLOWANCE AFTER APPROVAL BY THE SPRE.

Reissue applications are considered "SPECIAL" (MPEP § 1442). Any reissue application appearing on an examiner's docket report should be taken up for action as the next new or amended case, even before other special applications.

Prior to examining a reissue application, the examiner should obtain and review the original patent file if the patent issued from a paper application, or should access the original patent's file history if the patent issued from a paper application. MPEP § 1440. Examination of the reissue application is frequently directly linked to the prosecution history of the original application for the patent to be reissued.

ALL CLAIMS IN THE REISSUE APPLICATION ARE SUBJECT TO A FULL EXAMINATION ON THE MERITS, INCLUDING THE RE-PRESENTED ORIGINAL CLAIMS OF THE PATENT.

- I. Examiner: Complete items 1-19 prior to completing a first Office action. <u>In some TCs</u>, before mailing the action, send an IFW message to the SPRE and hand carry this form to the SPRE.
- II. SPRE has reviewed before first action. [For TCs where this is carried out.] This form is then returned by the SPRE to the examiner for storage. (SPRE initials)

Examiner - Complete items 20-21 when preparing the reissue application for allowance. - Place initials of approval on the Reissue IFW Final SPRE Review Form that is SPRE scanned into the file history at the time of review for allowance.

(1) DO NOT SCAN THIS DOCUMENT INTO IFW.

(2) This document should be placed into an Examiner Note folder for this application.

REISSUE CHECKLIST FOR IFW REISSUES

A "Reissue Guide" containing a further explanation of most of the items on the checklist is available in paper form or electronically (by e-mail) from the Technology Center SPRE or Paralegal.						
abov addit	e-identified reis ional discussion	ssue application n is needed, che	by answering YI ck one of the first	low has been thoroughly reviewed with respect to the ES, NO, or N/A to the following questions. If three boxes, and ALSO check the fourth box and low. See TC SPRE for further assistance, if needed.		
1.	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		
		itent file wrapp Guide" for furti		ile history in IFW, been obtained and reviewed?		
2.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below		
due t as a . not e	to the non-pay reissue patent b xpired; this sho	ment of the sch before expiration ould be monitore	eduled maintena of the original p	xpired due to the conclusion of its patent term or ance fees? A reissue application must actually issue patent. Accordingly, always make sure the patent has prosecution of the reissue application. See m.		
3.	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below		
NO,	STOP action ar	_	Paralegal forwa	peen published in the Official Gazette (O.G.)? If ard the application to OIPE to effect publication.		
4.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below		
mont Offic	th period has ex ee of Patent Leg	xpired before pro gal Administratio	eparing and issui on or Office of Pe	ne O.G. publication date? If NO, wait until the two- ing an Office action, unless directed otherwise by an etitions decision in the file . Continuation/divisional the two-month period.		
5.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		

Has the file been reviewed for the presence of any protests under 37 CFR 1.291(a)? Protests may be filed during the two-month period following the O.G. notice, or anytime up until the Notice of Allowance, and should be considered in the next Office action, if in the file. See "Reissue Guide" for instructions on handling protests.

6.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below			
Is the reissue application a division or a continuation of another pending reissue application, or is there a child reissue application that is a division or continuation of this reissue application? Divisional and continuation reissue applications are permissible as held in <u>In re Graff</u> , 42 USPQ2d 1471 If YES, special handling as per the "Reissue Guide" is required. See Item 18 below for restriction practice.							
7.	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below			
Is the patent or the reissue application involved in litigation? Information is requested from the STIC if not found in the file. If YES, a shortened reply periods may have to be set by the Examiner, or prosecution may have to be suspended. See "Reissue Guide." If NO, proceed with examination.							
8.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below			
If the reissue application has been assigned, does it include the written consent to the filing by all of the assignees (37 CFR 1.172(a))? See MPEP § 1410.01. If NO, and a petition for a waiver of this requirement has been granted, the assent may be deferred until the application is otherwise allowable.							
9.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below			
Have all documents (in applications filed on or after September 24, 1992) which are signed by, or on behalf of, the assignee(s) been reviewed and found to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 3.73(b)? This includes "consent" in No. 8, above. If NO, the statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) must be required before allowance.							
10.	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below			
Were any changes made to the patent by a Certificate of Correction dated prior to the filing of the reissue? If YES, check to see if the changes were properly entered as though part of the original patent, i.e., entered with no brackets or underlining.							
11.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below			
Has the application been reviewed for the presence of any errors, including any not specifically							

identified, which are not correctable by reissue? Reissue doesn't cure all patent ills. See "Reissue

Guide" for listing of some errors which cannot be corrected by reissue.

12.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		
Are any claims broader in scope (in <u>ANY</u> respect) than the original patent claims? If YES, note 35 USC 251, 3rd and 4th paragraphs as to potential rejections. If NO, proceed to item 14.						
13.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		
Does the broadening (from Item 12) attempt to "recapture" any claimed subject matter with respect to that which was added, or argued, to overcome a rejection in the original application? See "Reissue Guide" for explanation of "recapture doctrine." Subject matter deliberately surrendered may not be recaptured (reclaimed) in a reissue application.						
14.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		
Does the reissue application seek to provoke an interference with another patent? If NO, proceed to Item 16. If YES, see "Reissue Guide."						
15.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below		
Do the issues of interference estoppel and the "lost count" doctrine apply? These issues apply to the losing party of an interference. See "Reissue Guide."						
16.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		
Does the application include drawings (copies or originals) which meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.84? If YES, be sure there are no amendments or additions to the original figures. Transfer of the drawings from the patent file is not done by the Office.						
17.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below		
Does the reissue oath or declaration comply with all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63 and 37 CFR 1.175? See list of requirements in "Reissue Guide." If NO, reject under 35 U.S.C. 251 (citing the relevant portion of 37 CFR 1.63 or 1.175).						
18.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		
Does the reissue application contain claims to more than one invention (37 CFR 1.176)? If YES, and the different inventions were claimed in the <u>patent</u> , a restriction requirement dividing the different						

inventions <u>cannot</u> be made in the reissue application. If <u>added</u> claims in the reissue application are drawn to an invention not previously claimed, a restriction is possible. See Reissue Guide.

Reissue Application Checklist

19.	☐ Ye	s 🔲 N	lo	N/A	See additional discussion below		
"Conti (includ Office	s the original patent currently involved in a reexamination proceeding? To determine this, check 'Continuity" in PALM for the original patent number. If YES, forward/message all related files including any reexam or reissue directed to the original patent) to the TC SPRE who will e-mail the Office of Patent Legal Administration (OPLA) for consideration of a merger of the reexam and reissue proceedings.						
					MPLETED WHEN PREPARING THE		
20.	Ye	s 🔲 N	1o _	N/A	See additional discussion below		
Have all amendments to the specification, drawings and claims been reviewed and found to comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b)? See amendment practice described in "Reissue Guide." If NO, amendment in accordance with 37 CFR 1.173(b) will be required.							
21.	The fo	llowing items	should be ve	rified at the t	ime of Allowance:		
	a.	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below		
	None of the original patent claims have been renumbered.						
	b.	Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		
	The final numbering of claims added by reissue must follow the number of the highest numbered original patent claim.						
	c.	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below		
	The claim selected for printing is one that was added or amended during reissue - never a canceled claim. (Where no claim is amended/added, print the same claim as was printed in the original patent).						
	d.	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below		
					numbering <u>must</u> be filled in properly. A although it is not considered in the total number		

of claims allowed. Therefore, on the Issue Classification Sheet, the number in the box designated "TOTAL CLAIMS ALLOWED" may be less than the highest number of the last allowed claim.

IFW Reissue Application Checklist for Reissues						
	e.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below	
				_	the patent which change is substantive in eclaration from applicant.	
	f.	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below	
	classe	s and subclasse	_	the original pat	ssification Sheet should include at least those ent. If a reclassification prevents use of the lld be used.	
	g.	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	☐ See additional discussion below	
The SPRE should be informed of the relevant Terminal Disclaimer (TD) information for TDs filed either in the original patent or in the reissue application. (An informal note will be sufficient). That information will be placed in the IFW file wrapper via the SPRE's completic the SPRE review sheet as set forth below.					olication. (An informal note will be	
	h.	\ \tes	☐ No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below	
	For any reissue error corrected which is not covered by an oath or declaration, i.e., any 35 U.S.C 251 error corrected after the filing of all oaths and declarations currently in the reissue application, applicant MUST submit a "catch-up" supplemental oath or declaration prior to allowance stating " Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and which is not covered by the prior oath(s) and/or declaration(s) submitted in the application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant." (37 CFR 1.175(b)(1)), or language equivalent thereto. See MPEP § 1444 for handling supplemental oaths/declarations.					
	i.	☐ Yes	No	□ N/A	See additional discussion below	
	Any "	correction/or a	ddition" of a dor	nestic or foreig	n priority/benefit claim is permitted, and was	

The red Action folder including the Issue Classification Sheet for each allowed reissue application, together with the original patented file, if in paper, is submitted to the TC SPRE for review prior to being released from the Technology Center. Any IFW files should be messaged to the SPRE. The SPRE will complete the SPRE review sheet (Document Code REIS.REVFORM) at this point (including any relevant terminal disclaimer information supplied by the examiner), and place it into the red action folder to have it scanned into the file history. Once the reissue has been approved and revised for issue, any paper files

made, pursuant to MPEP 1402.

(e.g., the original patent, if it is in paper format) should be sent to the Office of Patent Legal Administration (OPLA), and any IFW files should be messaged to the OPLA.

This checklist is to be removed from the Action Folder by the SPRE at the time of final review.

All reissue applications are screened by the Office of Patent Legal Administration (OPLA). Thus, the office of the SPREs will "message" the OPLA IFW reissue mailbox, after the TC has finished working on the case, e.g., counted, mailed, and image uploaded to IFW of all work.

Examiner: AV.

Date: D8/19/09

Additional comments/discussion as to the above items:

a.) In re #20, All original patent claims 1-4
have been canceled by a statement according
to 37 CFR 1.173(6)(2), without
presentation of the text of the claims in
square brackets.

B.) In re # 21(4), original classes/subclasses in class 361 have been abolished dere to reclassification. Therefore, new class/subclasses have been used.

A.V.